IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

DWIGHT DAVID HARRISON,

v.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-cv-00333

D. L. YOUNG, Warden, F.C.I. Beckley,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On April 29, 2019, the Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed a Complaint/Motion (Document 1) in this matter. On May 13, 2019, the Plaintiff filed an *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Cost* (Document 4) and a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Document 5).

By *Standing Order* (Document 3) entered on April 29, 2019, the matter was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636.

On June 11, 2019, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 6) wherein it is recommended that this Court deny the Plaintiff's *Application of Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 4), deny the Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Document 5), dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint/Motion (Document 1) and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate

1

Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommendation were due by June 28, 2019, and none were filed

by either party.

The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or

legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation

to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file

timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and a party's right to appeal this Court's

Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989);

United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and **ORDERS** that the Plaintiff's Application of Proceed Without Prepayment

of Fees and Costs (Document 4) be DENIED, the Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining

Order (Document 5) be **DENIED**, the Plaintiff's Complaint/Motion (Document 1) be

DISMISSED, and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

July 9, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2